

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
09/211,507	12/14/98	HIRSCH		· A	054012-0009
•		` HM12/0628	٦	EXAMINER	
KRISTINE M STRODTHOFF		111127 0020		TATE, 0	
GODFREY & KAHN 780 NORTH WATER STREET			ż	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE
MILWAUKEE W		•		1651	6
				DATE MAILED	•
					06/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/211,507

Applica.it(s)

Examiner

Hirsch

Office Action Summary Exam

Christopher Tate

Group Art Unit 1651



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	· ·
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exp s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to re application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Inter	o by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved. er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	rnational Bureau (PC1 Hule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/211,507

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for altering vaginal blood flow by administering to a female via inhalation an odorant, classified in class 424, subclass 195.1, for example.
- II. Claims 12-13, drawn to a method of screening an odorant, classified in class 424, subclass 9.1, for example.
- III. Claims 14-23, drawn to an article of manufacture comprising a packaged odorant, classified in class 426, subclass 534, for example.

Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product can be used in numerous conventional flavoring applications unrelated to altering vaginal blood flow.

Inventions III and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Application/Control Number: 09/211,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the screening method of Group II does not require any particular odorant and, therefore, does not necessarily require any or all of the odorants defined by the Group III product, nor does the Group II screening method require that the odorant product be packaged within a container.

The methods of Groups I and II are directed to different inventions. These methods are distinct, each from the other, since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects.

One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The several inventions above are distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/211,507

Art Unit: 1651

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the odorants recited in claim 3, 5, 7, and 8.

Page 4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (i.e., one odorant or one of the mixture of odorants from among those recited in claims 3, 5, 7, and 8) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 12, and 14 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/211,507 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Tate whose telephone number is (703) 305-7114. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached at (703) 308-4743. The Group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0196. The fax number for art unit 1651 is (703) 308-4242.

Christopher R. Tate

Patent Examiner, Group 1651

June 26, 2000